CONFIDENTIAL



DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE Security Committee

SECOM-D-232

6 September 1985

	•	•	
MEMORANDUM FOR:	Director of Cent	tral Intelligence	Nac
VIA:	Director, Intell	of Central Intelligence ligence Community Staff Intelligence Community Staff	
FROM:		. 0	25X1
	Chairman		
SUBJECT:	Senator Proxmire	e's 27 August Letter	
(4) - (4) -			
written responsi Intelligence Con 2. Backgro	e to his letter, a mmunity security p ound and Discussion	you telephone Senator Proxmire, and advise him that public disclosture does not seem prudent. on: The assurances requested informer DCI Walter Bedell Smith was a second or the second of the second	losure of n Senator Proxmire's
the New York Ti	mes of 1 October 1 mplacent if it did	1952 as saying "Any intelligence inot assume that it would be pe	e agency would
advise him that publicly the fu do less would be penetration and long run, speak proclaim our in	it is unwise, fro ll extent of the l e to give a false the exploitation for itself regard vulnerability woul n the other hand,	for you to telephone Senator Prom a counterintelligence standpo Intelligence Community security picture of our efforts to guard of our personnel. The program iless of what assurances may be ld be intemperate. Any hint the would not serve the purpose sou	oint, to reveal program. To against will, in the given. To at we are not
your appreciati		recommended that you telephonica kmire's intentions, but decline easons.	
9-			
Attachment Senator Proxm	ire's 27 AUG 85 le	etter	
cc: D/OLL (Att		w/att	25X1
CC. DIOLL INCL	11,•	77 400	20/(1

25X1

FCS/LL, w/att

CONFIDENTIAL

SUBJECT: Senator Proxmire's 27 August Letter

CONCUR:

Deputy Director. (Intelligence Community Staff

19 SEP 1985

Date

/S/ John N. McMahon

Deputy Director of Central Intelligence

Date

25 SEP 1985

APPROVED:

/s/ William J. Casev

Director of Central Intelligence

Date

Approved For Release 2009/09/15: CIA-RDP86M00191R000300600007-0

MARK O. HATFIELD, OREGON, CHAIRMAN

TED STEVENS, ALASKA
LOWELL P WEICKER, JR., CONNECTICUT
JAMES A. MCCLURE, IDAHO
PAUL LAZALT, NEVADA
JAKE GARN, UTAH
THAD COCHRAN, MISSISSIPPI
MARK ANDREWS, NORTH DAKOTA
JAMES ABONOR, SOUTH DAKOTA
ROBERT W. KASTEN, JR., WISCONSIN
ALFONSE M. D'AMATO, NEW YORK
MACK MATTINGLY, GEOGGIA
WARREN RUDMAN, NEW HAMPSHIRE
ARLEN SPECTER, PENNSYLVAMA
PETE V. DOMENICI, NEW MEDICO

JOHN C. STENNIS. MISSISSIPPI
ROBERT C. BYRO, WEST VIRGINIA
WALLIAM PROXMIRE WISCONSIN
DANEL K. INCUYE. NAWAN
ERNEST F. HOLLINGS. SOUTH CAROLINA
LAWTON CHILES. FLORIDA
J. BENNETT JOHNSTON, LOUISLANA
QUENTIN N. BURDICK, NORTH DAKOTA
PATRICK J. LEANY, VERMONT
JIM SASSER, TENNESSEE
DEENNIS DHEONICIM, ARIZOMA
DALE BUMPERS. ARKANSAS
PRAMK R. LAUTENBERG, NEW JERSEY
TOM HARKIN, IOWA

J. KEITH KENNEDY, STAFF DIRECTOR FRANCIS J. SULLIVAN, MINORITY STAFF DIRECTOR United States Senate

COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS
WASHINGTON, DC 20510

August 27, 1985

Executive Registry 85- 2838

3 SEP 1985

LOGGED

Hon. William J. Casey
Director of Central Intelligence
Washington, D.C. 20505

Dear Mr. Casey:

The current spy scandal in West Germany suggests that there has been long term damage to West German and possible NATO intelligence operations. Not only has the collection of foreign intelligence been adversely affected but also Western counter-intelligence operations.

Obviously the extent of this damage will be known only to a few in West Germany and here in the United States. But the American public, reading of the defections and probable compromise of highly classified Alliance secrets, must be uneasy about the potential for such penetration of U.S. intelligence agencies.

I understand that there are extensive safeguards against hostile penetration of our intelligence agencies such as use of the lie detector, background checks, and monitoring of personnel. But the lesson of the West German incident seems to be that obvious signals were overlooked by the highest authorities—perhaps because of long standing personal relationships.

In order to reassure the American public, would you consider discussing this problem in an unclassified response to this letter indicating where possible the efforts you have taken to insure that such a penetration and compromise of U.S. agencies on this scale is unlikely or impossible? I believe that some positive statement on your part would go long way to dispelling a growing feeling among Americans that we too are riddled with agents from the Warsaw Pact countries.

Sincerely

Any discussion of this issue would be appreciated.

